UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,771	11/21/2003	Richard D. Ellison	200308979-1	3099

22879	7590	01/13/2006	

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER
SWERDLOW, DANIEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2646	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/719,771	ELLISON, RICHARD D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel Swerdlow	2646

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 November 2005</u>.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL.**   2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-37* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-37* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1.   The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2.   Claims 1 through 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et

al. (US Patent 5,267,322).

3.   Regarding Claim 1, Smith discloses an automatic gain control comprising: signal level

measurement (i.e., a module to measure power level) (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-23) for

a stream of frames representing voice signals for conversion to audible signals (i.e., outgoing

voice signal stream) (column 7, lines 58-69; column 8, lines 38-42); gain value adjustment (i.e., a

gain factor setting module) (Fig. 3, steps 326, 330; column 12, lines 38-63) that sets gain by

comparing signal level to thresholds (Fig. 3, steps 326,330); and application of gain (i.e., a gain

adjustment module) (Fig. 3, steps 328, 332, 334, 336; column 12, lines 38-63) by applying the

gain value.

4.   Regarding Claim 2, Smith further discloses use of two thresholds (Fig. 3, steps 326, 380;

column 12, lines 38-63).

5.   Regarding Claim 3, Smith further discloses simultaneous use of signal level values for

current and future subframes (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-11). The simultaneous use of

signal level values from different times inherently includes storing measured levels. In addition,

Smith discloses the use of a program variable representing a gain value (i.e., storing a previously applied gain value) (column 12, lines 41-44).

6.   Regarding Claim 4, Smith further discloses applying gain values to maintain a level between a low threshold and a high threshold (column 12, lines 38-63).

7.   Regarding Claim 5, Smith further discloses multiplying the gain value to the current signal value (column 11, lines 41-44).

8.   Regarding Claim 6, Smith further discloses applying (i.e., adding) the gain value to the current signal value (column 11, lines 41-44).

9.   Regarding Claim 7, in addition to the elements cited above apropos of Claim 1, Smith further discloses a TDM interface (Fig. 1B, reference 63; column 6, lines 3-7) the corresponds to the switch claimed and assigns serial bit blocks (i.e., receives a voice signal stream) from the TDM interface (i.e., a voice signal source) to one of the APU's that includes the gain control function that corresponds to the gain adjustment module claimed. In addition, Smith further discloses measuring signal level at two future and one current subframe (i.e., a number of segments) (column 11, lines 9-11). Further, Smith discloses outputting stream of frames representing voice signals for conversion to audible signals (i.e., the voice signal stream is outgoing) (column 8, lines 38-42).

10.   Regarding Claim 8, Smith further discloses sending a gain adjusted frame as a transmit frame on the TDM highway (i.e., adjusting gain before the signal has entered an output channel) (column 8, lines 62-65).

11.   Regarding Claim 9, Smith further discloses simultaneous use of signal level values for current and future subframes (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-11). The simultaneous use of signal level values from different times inherently includes storing measured levels.

12.   Regarding Claim 10, Smith further discloses taking the sum of the values of the points in the subframes (column 11, lines 13-16).

13.   Regarding Claim 11, Smith further discloses computing the mean of the values of the points in the subframes (column 11, lines 10-12).

14.   Regarding Claim 12, Smith further discloses applying gain values to maintain a level below a high threshold (column 12, lines 38-63). In addition, Smith further discloses a table of signal level values and associated gain values, each signal level value corresponding to one of the at least two different high threshold levels claimed (Fig. 6, reference 600; column 12, lines 54-62).

15.   Regarding Claim 13, Smith further discloses applying gain values to maintain a level between a low threshold and a high threshold (column 12, lines 38-63).

16.   Regarding Claim 14, Smith discloses a gain control system comprising: line interfaces (column 3, line 66 through column 4, line 2) that correspond to the voice signal source claimed and provide digital voice data (i.e., produces a voice signal stream) and are coupled to the public switched network (column 5, lines 27-29); Smith further discloses a voice messaging system (Fig. 1A, reference 1; column 5, lines 5-7) that corresponds to the media platform claimed and is coupled to the public switched network (column 5, lines 27-29) and the line interfaces (column 3, line 66 through column 4, line 2) that correspond to the voice signal source claimed. Smith further discloses: a TDM interface (Fig. 1B, reference 63; column 6, lines 3-7) the corresponds to

the switch claimed and assigns serial bit blocks (i.e., receives a voice signal stream) from the

TDM interface (i.e., a voice signal source); an automatic gain control (Fig. 2A, reference 110;

column 5, lines 5-7) that corresponds to the power level adjusting means claimed; and line

interface modules (Fig. 1A, reference 24,26; column 5, lines 27-39) that correspond to the output

channel claimed. Further, Smith discloses outputting stream of frames representing voice signals

for conversion to audible signals (i.e., the voice signal stream is outgoing) (column 8, lines 38-

42).

17.   Regarding Claim 15, Smith further discloses the gain control implemented in assembly

code software on a DSP (i.e., having a set of computer executable instructions) (column 6, lines

35-37).

18.   Regarding Claim 16, Smith further discloses signal level measurement (i.e., measurement

module) (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-23); gain value adjustment (i.e., a gain factor

setting module) (Fig. 3, steps 326, 330; column 12, lines 38-63) that sets gain by comparing

signal level to thresholds (Fig. 3, steps 326,330); and application of gain (i.e., a gain adjustment

module) (Fig. 3, steps 328, 332, 334, 336; column 12, lines 38-63) by applying the gain value.

19.   Regarding Claim 17, Smith further discloses the signal level measurement that

corresponds to the measurement module claimed measuring signal (i.e., power) level (Fig. 3, step

312; column 11, lines 9-23) for a stream of frames representing voice signals (i.e., voice signal

stream) (column 7, lines 58-69.

20.   Regarding Claim 18, Smith further discloses the gain value adjustment that corresponds

to the gain factor setting module claimed (Fig. 3, steps 326, 330; column 12, lines 38-63) sets

gain by comparing signal level to thresholds (i.e., based on measurement information from the measurement module (Fig. 3, steps 326,330).

21.   Regarding Claim 19, Smith further discloses the application of gain that corresponds to the gain adjustment module claimed (Fig. 3, steps 328, 332, 334, 336; column 12, lines 38-63) applying the gain value based on the gain value adjustment that corresponds to the gain factor setting module claimed (Fig. 3, steps 326, 330; column 12, lines 38-63).

22.   Regarding Claim 20, Smith further discloses the gain control implemented in assembly code software on a DSP (i.e., including program instructions executed on a processor) (column 6, lines 35-37) within the voice messaging system that corresponds to the media platform claimed (Fig. 1C, reference 72; column 7, lines 61-64).

23.   Regarding Claim 21, Smith discloses an automatic gain control (i.e., method of adjusting power level) comprising: receiving a stream of frames representing voice signals (i.e., voice signal stream) (column 7, lines 58-69); signal level measurement (i.e., measuring a power level) (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-23) for current and future subframes (i.e., at a number of points in time) (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-11); comparing signal level to thresholds (Fig. 3, steps 326,330); and application of gain (i.e., adjusting power level) (Fig. 3, steps 328, 332, 334, 336; column 12, lines 38-63) by applying a gain value based on the comparison (Fig. 3, steps 326, 330; column 12, lines 38-63). Further, Smith discloses outputting stream of frames representing voice signals for conversion to audible signals (i.e., the voice signal stream is outgoing) (column 8, lines 38-42).

24.   Regarding Claim 22, Smith further discloses use of two thresholds (Fig. 3, steps 326, 380; column 12, lines 38-63).

25.   Regarding Claim 23, Smith further discloses adjusting power level (Fig. 3, steps 328,

332, 334, 336; column 12, lines 38-63) by applying a gain value based on the comparison (Fig. 3,

steps 326, 330; column 12, lines 38-63).

26.   Regarding Claim 24, Smith further discloses signal level measurement (i.e., measuring a

power level) (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-23) for current and future subframes (i.e.,

segments) (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-11).

27.   Regarding Claim 25, Smith further discloses reducing gain only if signal level

measurement (i.e., measuring a power level) (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-23) for all

three subframes (i.e., segments) (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-11) are below a threshold.

As such, Smith discloses comparing the level of each segment with the threshold.

28.   Regarding Claim 26, Smith further discloses using the mean (i.e., average) of the values

of the points in the subframes (column 11, lines 10-12).

29.   Regarding Claim 27, in addition to the elements cited above apropos of Claim 21, Smith

further discloses maintaining instructions for the method on disk drives (i.e., a computer readable

medium) (column 7, lines 36-39).

30.   Regarding Claim 28, Smith further discloses a table of signal level values and associated

gain values (i.e., adjusting power level in differing increments based on proximity of measured

power to threshold) (Fig. 6, reference 600; column 12, lines 54-62).

31.   Regarding Claim 29, Smith further discloses using the mean (i.e., average) of the values

of the points in the subframes (column 11, lines 10-12).

32.   Regarding Claim 30, Smith further discloses use of signal level values for current and

future subframes (i.e., replacement of oldest value with new value) (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11,

lines 9-11).

33.   Regarding Claim 31, Smith further discloses interface with a T1 channel (Fig. 1A,

reference 26; column 5, lines 33-39).

34.   Regarding Claim 32, Smith further discloses the voice data stream stored in memory

(Fig. 2A, reference 100; column 12, lines 38-42).

35.   Regarding Claim 33, Smith further discloses a text-to-speech application (column 7, lines

21-25).

36.   Regarding Claim 34, Smith discloses an automatic gain control (i.e., method of adjusting

power level) comprising: receiving a stream of frames representing voice signals (i.e., voice

signal stream) (column 7, lines 58-69); signal level measurement (i.e., measuring a power level)

(Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-23) for current and future subframes (i.e., at a number of

points in time) (Fig. 3, step 312; column 11, lines 9-11); comparing signal level to thresholds

(Fig. 3, steps 326,330); and application of gain (i.e., adjusting power level) (Fig. 3, steps 328,

332, 334, 336; column 12, lines 38-63) by applying a gain value based on the comparison (Fig. 3,

steps 326, 330; column 12, lines 38-63) over a period of time (i.e., gradually) (column 12, lines

45-49). Further, Smith discloses outputting stream of frames representing voice signals for

conversion to audible signals (i.e., the voice signal stream is outgoing) (column 8, lines 38-42).

37.   Regarding Claim 35, Smith further discloses a table of signal level values and associated

gain values (i.e., changing an amount of adjustment based on proximity of measured power to

target) (Fig. 6, reference 600; column 12, lines 54-62).

38.   Regarding Claim 36, Smith further discloses a table of at least four signal level values

and associated gain values (i.e., comparing power levels to four thresholds) (Fig. 6, reference

600; column 12, lines 54-62).

39.   Regarding Claim 37, Smith further discloses maintaining a target output level (column

14, lines 1-3). As such, Smith discloses a larger adjustment for values further from the target.

Response to Arguments

40.   Applicant's arguments filed 16 November 2005 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

41.   Applicant's arguments made in the third through sixth paragraphs on page 8 of the

response regarding the respective purposes of applicant's disclosed invention and the Smith

reference amount to an attempt to read limitations from the specification into the claims. In

response, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., protecting the telephone

network from overload) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are

interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the

claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

42.   Applicant's remaining arguments allege that Smith fails to disclose the application of the

gain control to an outgoing signal as the amended claims recite. Examiner respectfully

disagrees. Smith discloses outputting the stream of frames representing voice signals for

conversion to audible signals (i.e., the voice signal stream is outgoing) (column 8, lines 38-42).

Conclusion

43.   Applicant's amendment necessitated any new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniel Swerdlow whose telephone number is 571-272-7531. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 7:30 AM and 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sinh H. Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR
system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR
system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Swerdlow
Examiner
Art Unit 2646

ds
10 January 2006